

Professional Standards Bureau
Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations
07/01/2022 - 07/31/2022

| IA No | Opened | Incident Type | Summary | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) | Outcome | Discipline | Investigative Summary | Closed |
|-------------|------------|--------------------|--|---|---|--|--|------------|
| IA2018-0499 | 08/03/2018 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged Deputies allowed an unauthorized person to enter into her home without a court order. It was also alleged Deputies did not introduce themselves or provide their names when they arrived on scene. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Exonerated Unfounded Sustained Exonerated | N/A Previously Resigned/Retired | After receiving altered court documents, the first Deputy on scene violated policy when he allowed an unauthorized person to enter the complainants home. Review of body worn camera footage showed the Deputy identified himself as a Sheriff, but the complainant did not ask for his name, therefore he did not violate policy. Relying on information provided by the first Deputy, the second Deputy on scene allowed the unauthorized person into the home but escorted her out when he learned she was not granted permission. It was found the second Deputy's actions were proper and did not violate policy. Review of body worn camera footage showed the second Deputy provided the complainant his name and business card, finding the allegation to be false. | 07/11/2022 |
| IA2016-0158 | 03/29/2016 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged an Unknown MCSO Employee failed to properly handle a box of license plates and drivers licenses as dictated in an MCSO Briefing Board. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Office Directives | Sustained | N/A | It was found an Unknown Employee failed to properly handle a box of license plates and drivers licenses. | 07/13/2022 |
| IA2021-0486 | 09/09/2021 | External Complaint | The inmate complainant alleged she was not fed or given water for three days while in custody. It was also alleged a female Detention Officer used excessive force by sticking the inmate with her knee while she was being dressed into jail clothing. | CP1 - Use of Force CP2 - Code of Conduct - Treatment of Persons in Custody | Unfounded Unfounded | N/A N/A | A review of the video found the allegation of excessive force was false or not supported by fact. A review of the shift logs found the allegation of being denied food and water was false or not supported by fact. | 07/18/2022 |
| IA2021-0594 | 11/17/2021 | External Complaint | The complainants alleged a Detention Officer failed to offer an inmate his meal resulting in an outburst. It was also alleged the Officer was unprofessional when he yelled at CHS Medical staff. Furthermore, it is alleged in November of 2021, the Officer failed to allow inmates adequate time to respond during meal distribution, leaving several inmates without meals. Also, on various additional dates, he failed to provide inmates a reasonable amount of time to respond to the distribution of meals, resulting in numerous meal refusals. The complainants alleged a Detention Sergeant made unprofessional statements to CHS staff. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Not-Sustained | N/A N/A | Due to conflicting statements, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer did not offer an inmate his meal. As a result of witness statements and video footage, the allegations the Officer was unprofessional and failed to allow inmates to respond during meal distribution were false or not supported by the facts. There is insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Sergeant's statements violated policy. | 07/18/2022 |
| IA2022-0167 | 04/25/2022 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged that a Deputy should have given him a warning but instead cited him for the wrong offense. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded | N/A | After examining all case materials and reviewing body-worn camera footage, the investigation determined the citation was justified, and the correct violation code was utilized. Therefore, the allegation was found to be false or not supported by the facts. | 07/18/2022 |
| IA2018-0050 | 01/23/2018 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy did not fully conduct a proper investigation into a criminal matter. He also alleged the Deputy failed to obtain evidence from him. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines | Unfounded Unfounded | N/A | It was found the Deputy conducted a proper investigation and attempted to obtain evidence from the complainant; the allegations were false or not supported by fact. | 07/19/2022 |
| IA2020-0308 | 06/17/2020 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged after an inmate had soiled herself, a Detention Officer did not allow her to shower or provide clean linens. She also alleged the Officer refused to exchange sandals for an a fellow inmate, as her sandals did not fit. Furthermore, the inmate alleged the Officer threatened to send her to segregation housing for asking a question. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Exonerated Exonerated Exonerated | N/A | The investigation determined that when time permitted, the Detention Officer allowed the inmate to shower and provided her with clean clothing. It was found the Officer did not violate policy by denying a sandal exchange as the inmate with the sandal issue did not request the exchange. The allegation of a threat could not be substantiated, however for safety reasons, Officers may advise inmates their actions could lead to disciplinary segregation; therefore had she done so, the action would not have violated policy. | 07/20/2022 |
| IA2017-0545 | 08/10/2017 | External Complaint | The inmate alleged a Detention Officer used profane words towards him and displayed her Taser toward him during their verbal altercation. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Sustained Sustained | Written Reprimand | Based on witness statements, it was found the Officer used profane language toward the complainant. It was found the Detention Officer failed to make a reasonable decision when she displayed her TASER to force compliance on an handcuffed inmate. | 07/21/2022 |
| IA2021-0524 | 10/06/2021 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer did not release him at the court ordered time for work release. It was also alleged the Officer did not give him access to a supervisor when requested and was racially biased toward him by being rude and dismissive when he asked about his release. | CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards DO2 - Release Process - Responsibility for Inmate Releases CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Unfounded Exonerated Exonerated Not-Sustained | N/A | The investigation found the Detention Officer released the inmate later than the time listed on his confinement order, however he was within policy and procedure when he waited for direction from a supervisor to release the complainant. The Officer was within policy and procedure when he did not give the complainant access to a supervisor due to the expectation of him using discretion when prioritizing supervisory responses. It was found the Officer took action and made inquiries for the complainant's release, therefore it was found the allegation of bias by the Officer when the complainant inquired about his release, was false or not supported by fact. Due to a lack of witnesses, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer was rude or dismissive. | 07/21/2022 |
| IA2021-0563 | 11/02/2021 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Deputy was racially bias during an accident investigation because he identified her as being a "Spanish speaker only" on her citation and spoke Spanish to her even though she is bilingual and speaks fluent English. She also alleged the Deputy treated her differently when he commented on her economic status. Furthermore, she alleged the Deputy treated her differently because of her race when he questioned her drug use during the traffic collision investigation. | CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling | Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded | N/A | A review of the body worn camera found the allegation of racial bias due to the Deputy speaking Spanish and marking her as a "Spanish speaker only" was false or not supported by fact. After a review of the body worn camera footage and witness statements, the Deputy's statements about money and drugs did not violate policy; therefore, the allegations of the Deputy treating the complainant differently due to economic status and race were false or not supported by fact. | 07/21/2022 |
| IA2020-0629 | 11/13/2020 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer was found sleeping on duty on several occasions while working Security Control. It was also alleged the Officer did not follow proper procedures and document the medication he was using that impacted his work performance. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Sleeping On-Duty CP2 - Code of Conduct - Use of Medication or Drugs | Sustained Sustained | Employee Suspended | The Detention Officer admitted to falling asleep while on duty and not submitting proper documentation to his supervisor of the medication he was using that impacted his job performance. The Officer was found to have violated Office policy. | 07/25/2022 |

Professional Standards Bureau
Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations
07/01/2022 - 07/31/2022

| IA No | Opened | Incident Type | Summary | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) | Outcome | Discipline | Investigative Summary | Closed |
|-------------|------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|---|------------|
| IA2020-0670 | 12/01/2020 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer was sleeping on duty while assigned to the medical clinic. It was also alleged the Officer did not follow proper procedures and document the medication he was using while on duty. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Sleeping On-Duty CP2 - Code of Conduct - Use of Medication or Drugs | Sustained Sustained | Employee Suspended | The Detention Officer violated policy when he did not submit proper documentation of the medication he admitted made him fall asleep while on duty. | 07/25/2022 |
| IA2022-0110 | 03/23/2022 | External Complaint | The complainants alleged a Deputy was unprofessional in a TikTok video. During the investigation, it was alleged the Deputy failed to log into CAD, as required, for off-duty employment. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor EA18 - Law Enforcement Extra Duty and Off-Duty Employment | Sustained Sustained | Written Reprimand | It was found the Deputy acted unprofessionally. It was also found the Deputy failed to appropriately log into CAD for his off-duty employment. | 07/25/2022 |
| IA2022-0218 | 05/24/2022 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged two Detention Officers are involved in a sexual relationship and have not informed their supervisors. | CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Workplace Professionalism | Sustained Sustained | Coaching Coaching | It was found the two Detention Officers failed to promptly notify their supervisors about their sexual relationship. | 07/25/2022 |
| IA2021-0429 | 08/16/2021 | External Complaint | The complainants alleged a Deputy displayed unprofessional conduct while on social media toward another user. It was also alleged the Deputy misused law enforcement databases to look up the home address of the social media user. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Social Networking Sites CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws GF1 - Criminal Justice Data Systems | Sustained Sustained Unfounded Unfounded | Previously Resigned/Retired | It was found the Deputy displayed unprofessional conduct and brought the Office into disrepute with his social media post. A review of the criminal database search portal found the Deputy did not search for the user's home address; the allegation was false or not supported by fact. | 07/26/2022 |
| IA2021-0629 | 12/02/2021 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Deputy was the at-fault driver in a minor non-injury vehicle collision. | GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles | Sustained | Written Reprimand | The Deputy was found to be the at-fault driver in a non-injury vehicle collision. | 07/26/2022 |
| CI2020-0019 | 11/03/2020 | Critical Incident | A critical incident investigation was initiated to review the death of an inmate that occurred on November 2, 2020, following a medical emergency on October 30, 2020, at the Estrella Jail facility. | | | N/A | The investigation found no employee involvement in the inmate's death, and the manner of death was deemed a suicide. | 07/27/2022 |
| CI2020-0025 | 01/06/2021 | Critical Incident | A critical incident investigation was initiated to review the death of an inmate that occurred on December 30, 2020, at the Inmate Medical Services Infirmary. | | | N/A | The investigation found no employee involvement in the inmate's death, and the manner of death was deemed a suicide. | 07/27/2022 |
| IA2022-0221 | 05/24/2022 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged two Detention Officers acted in an unprofessional manner by yelling and cursing at each other while on-duty. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained Not-Sustained | N/A N/A | Due to the witness statements and the lack of video or audio recording of the incident, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the unprofessional interaction occurred between the two Detention Officers. | 07/27/2022 |
| IA2022-0232 | 05/26/2022 | External Complaint | An anonymous complainant alleged a Detention Sergeant was rude during telephonic contact. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained | N/A | Since the conversation was not recorded, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Detention Sergeant was rude to the complainant during their contact. | 07/27/2022 |
| IA2016-0448 | 08/16/2016 | Internal Complaint | A Deputy Chief alleged an Executive Chief made fraudulent entries into his timecard, leading him to be compensated when he was not physically at work. He also alleged the Executive Chief failed to maintain a professional and courteous demeanor with him. | CP5 - Truthfulness - Sustained GC8 - Compensation and the ADP System CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees GB2 - Command Responsibility CP2 - Code of Conduct - Insubordination | Not-Sustained Unfounded Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded | Previously Resigned/Retired Previously Resigned/Retired | The investigation found the former Executive Chief had permission to work out of the Office therefore the allegation of fraudulent timecard entries was false or not supported by fact. Due to the Executive Chief not participating in the investigation, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the information he provided reference jail population was inaccurate and untruthful. It was found the Executive Chief failed to conform to work standards by interjecting and upsetting daily operations and efficiencies of the Office and failed to maintain a professional and courteous demeanor with a subordinate Chief. The investigation found the former Deputy Chief was asked to gather information contained in the jail study by the Chief Deputy; the allegation of insubordination was false or not supported by fact. It was also found the Deputy Chief violated policy when he failed to follow his chain of command. | 07/28/2022 |
| IA2018-0058 | 01/25/2018 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged an Unknown Detention Officer had inmates lock down an hour early on multiple occasions, causing them to not receive their full dayroom access. The complainant also alleged he heard Unknown Officers threaten inmates with a Security Override. | DI1 - Inmate Housing Categories DI1 - Inmate Housing Categories CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards | Not-Sustained Exonerated Not-Sustained | N/A | There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove an Unknown Detention Officer locked down inmates on one occasion for no reason, causing inmates to lose their dayroom access. The investigation found the second lock down incident was appropriate and within policy and procedure due to the directive to lock down came from a Detention Sergeant. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove an Unknown Detention Officer used Security Override as a threat. | 07/28/2022 |
| IA2018-0150 | 03/07/2018 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer covertly recorded a phone call with an MCSO employee and member of command staff. The member of command staff did not know the call was being recorded. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees | Exonerated | N/A | The investigation found the Detention Officer recorded the phone call using his personal cell phone while he was off-duty; because of this, his action was legal under state law and therefore did not violate policy. | 07/28/2022 |
| IA2018-0418 | 06/27/2018 | External Complaint | The anonymous complainant alleged a Detention Lieutenant had a romantic relationship with his subordinate employee. The complainant also alleged the same Detention Lieutenant was aware of and failed to report a Detention Sergeant leaving work to go home. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GH2 - Internal Investigations | Unfounded Not-Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded | N/A N/A | The investigation found the allegation of the Detention Lieutenant having a romantic relationship with his subordinate employee was false or not supported by fact. Due to differing perspectives, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Detention Sergeant joked about going home to have sex, however the allegation of the Sergeant physically leaving work to do so was false or not supported by fact, based on witness statements. Because of this, the allegation of the Lieutenant not reporting the misconduct was false or not supported by fact. The allegation of the Lieutenant not going to work while assigned to the Pre-Employment Division was false or not supported by fact. | 07/28/2022 |
| IA2020-0070 | 02/11/2020 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged three MCSO vehicles were travelling at a high rate of speed without lights or siren activated. It was also alleged the third vehicle went through an intersection on a red light. | GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles | Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained | Written Reprimand Written Reprimand Employee Suspended | Deputies violated policy by driving at an excessive speed without activating their emergency equipment. There was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove a Deputy entered an intersection against a red light. | 07/28/2022 |
| IA2021-0093 | 02/22/2021 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged an Inmate Classification Specialist committed criminal damage by causing damage to the front door of an apartment belonging to the mother of his child. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Sustained | Employee Suspended | It was found the Inmate Classification Specialist failed to conform to established laws when he caused criminal damage to an apartment door. | 07/28/2022 |

Professional Standards Bureau
 Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations
 07/01/2022 - 07/31/2022

| IA No | Opened | Incident Type | Summary | Allegation(s)/Force Type(s) | Outcome | Discipline | Investigative Summary | Closed |
|-------------|------------|--------------------|--|--|---------------|--------------------|---|------------|
| IA2021-0234 | 05/05/2021 | External Complaint | The complainant alleged a Detention Officer called him derogatory names while conducting a security walk. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor | Not-Sustained | N/A | Due to a lack of evidence or witnesses, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Detention Officer called the inmate a derogatory name. | 07/28/2022 |
| IA2022-0133 | 04/05/2022 | External Complaint | The inmate complainant alleged a Detention Officer is bringing drugs into the jail facility. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Not-Sustained | N/A | Due to the complainant refusing to participate, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Unknown Detention Officer brought drugs into the jail. | 07/28/2022 |
| IA2022-0083 | 03/02/2022 | Internal Complaint | It was alleged a Detention Officer was driving at an excessive speed in a residential area or business district. | CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws | Sustained | Employee Suspended | It was found the Detention Officer failed to conform to established laws by driving at an excessive speed. | 07/29/2022 |